

Pakistan Information Commission

Government of Pakistan

Order

Appeal No. 5300-01/26

Aftab Ahmed Ujjan

Vs

PMDC

May 07, 2026

None appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. The applicant vide letter dated 04-05-2026 has stated that his grievance has been redressed and he has received the required information, hence request is made to withdraw this appeal.
2. In view of above, no further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

Ijaz Hassan Awan
Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui
Chief Information Commissioner

Pakistan Information Commission

Government of Pakistan

Order

Appeal No 5555-04/2026

Kashif Raza

Vs

Ministry of Law and Justice

May 07, 2026

Zahir Hussain Shah, Deputy Solicitor, Ministry of Law and Justice appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. The public body vide letter dated 06-05-2026 has furnished written reply stating that the requisite information does not relate to the public body however, it relates to the FST, being administrative matter, therefore, the applicant may seek information from FST.
2. In view of the categorical reply of the public body, no further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

Ijaz Hassan Awan
Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui
Chief Information Commissioner

Pakistan Information Commission

Government of Pakistan

Order

Appeal No 4618-03/2025

Syed Ali Abdullah Rizvi

Vs

Ministry of Energy (Petroleum Division)

May 07, 2026

Shabbir Kiani Advocate appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. The Commission has examined the contents of the written reply furnished by the public body and found that:

2. In respect of Para 5, the required document is a contract between the employee and the employer, which under Section 6(c) as well as under Section 5(1)(a), is required to be uploaded on the website.

3. In respect of Paras 10 and 20, since the public body has categorically stated that the audit report has not yet been finalized, hence it cannot be shared, which is sustainable under the law.

4. In respect of Paras 11, 26, and 28, regarding the minutes of meetings not being public documents, the Commission observed that the minutes of meetings which have been finalized are to be disclosed under Section 7(b) of the Right of Access to Information Act, 2017. However, taking note of the learned counsel's submissions, the merits and demerits of the individuals discussed in the said minutes of meetings should be excluded from disclosure.

5. In respect of Para 15, the learned counsel states that no such certificate exists in the public record of the public body.

6. The learned counsel for the public body states, with reference to Serial No. 19 of the information request, that the documents claimed by the applicant (complaints) are not public documents specifically defined under the Act, and the arguments are sustained.

7. In respect of Para 21, the plea of the learned counsel for the public body regarding the non-provision of the accounting procedure of HDIP on the ground of confidentiality does not pass the test of transparency as mentioned in the preamble of the Act. This document is a public record and should be provided by the public body.

8. In respect of Para 22, the contention of the learned counsel for the public body regarding Serial No. 22 of the information request, pertaining to explanations/memorandums served upon an individual, is also sustained, as these are not public documents as declared under the law, and are also hit by the elements of privacy.

9. In respect of Para 29, the contention is not sustained, and the copy of the notification for regularization of service be provided to the applicant, as it is part of the public record.

10. The information sought at Serial Nos. 30 and 31 has been contested by the learned counsel for the public body, stating that it relates to service histories and is taking the matter too far. This contention is supported by the judgment of the Islamabad High Court in WP 3678/2025, and accordingly, the same shall not be provided.

11. The learned counsel for the public body states that the information sought at Serial No. 32 of the information request relates to the Cabinet Division, which is maintained by the Cabinet Division; hence, the applicant may approach that public body in this regard.

12. The learned counsel's contentions regarding the information sought at Serial Nos. 33 to 35 relate to matters covered under the privacy clauses, as these are matters between the employer and the employee and cannot be categorized as public record.

13. The information which the public body has already agreed to provide will be furnished to the applicant once he deposits the amount in the relevant account number under intimation to this Commission as well as the public body.

14. This has already been conveyed to him through the Commission's letter dated 22-04-2026 under RGL 170313302. The information which was contested and has been adjudicated will be provided/not provided as per the decision contained in the above-mentioned paragraphs.

15. The public body will intimate the Commission about the cost of the information to be provided which has been adjudicated today. The Commission will ask the applicant to deposit that amount also, and after deposit of the photocopying charges, the Deputy Secretary (D-II)/Designated Officer, Ministry of Energy (Petroleum Division), will provide the required information to the applicant.

16. Adjourned to 11-06-2026 for implementation proceedings.

Ijaz Hassan Awan
Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui
Chief Information Commissioner

Pakistan Information Commission

Government of Pakistan

Order

Appeal No 5516-03/2026

Inayat Ali

Vs

Surveyor General of Pakistan

May 07, 2026

None appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. This appeal was filed before the Commission against Surveyor General of Pakistan on 30-03-2026.
2. The applicant had asked the following information:
 1. Attested copy of the above pending departmental appeal dated 24-01-2026.
 2. Attested copy of the speaking order passed on pending departmental appeal dated 24-01-2026.
3. The public body provided categorical response on this information request, which was shared with the applicant vide letter dated 13-04-2026 under RGL 170313038, who has acknowledged its receipt but has filed rejoinder stating that he has not received the attested copy of approval of the Surveyor General of Pakistan in which he delegated the power to Malik Tanveer Ahmed, Director (Admin) (R.D) to issue the order on departmental appeal on his behalf as appellate authority by the Surveyor General of Pakistan.
4. The Commission has examined the contents of the information request, memo of appeal, written reply of the public body, and the rejoinder filed by the applicant and observes that the information now sought for is beyond the scope of the information request, which is not allowed under the law, as the appeal is preferred on the basis of the information request which defines the parameters of the information sought from the public body. In view of the above-mentioned position, no further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

Ijaz Hassan Awan
Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui
Chief Information Commissioner